

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/927,492	08/13/2001 Hiroyuki Takakura		826.1740	5320
	21171 7590 07/16/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005	QIN, YIXING			
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER	
		2625			
	•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/927,492	TAKAKURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Yixing Qin	2625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 May 2007</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-24 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11)	on from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be in the drawing(s) is objected to b	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to applicant's amendment received 5/4/07, all requested changes have been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant's arguments is that the barcode cannot be considered to include a plurality of pieces of return information. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In Fig. 6 and column 10, lines 35-55 that the product code (i.e. bar code) is extracted by the ARS and then a return message 402 is send back to the source PC. In Fig. 5, one can see that item 402 contains a plurality of pieces of information that is to be sent back from the ARS to the PC.

Also, just because the bar code serves a purpose of identifying information, it does not necessarily mean that it cannot be read upon as return information.

Regardless, the above returned information in Philyaw is derived from the information on the bar code.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2625

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Philyaw (U.S. Patent No. 6,845,388) hereinafter Philyaw in view of Ikeda (U.S. Patent No. 5,938,727) hereinafter Ikeda.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Philyaw discloses an information conveying system in which an information provider side conveys information to a consumer side Via a distribution material distributed by an information distributor, and a bi-directional information exchange between the information provider side and the consumer side is made (column 8, lines 1-47, column 17, lines 1-67, column 20, lines 32-58, and column 23, lines 34-63), comprising a converting unit, on at least one of the information provider side and the information distributor side, converting conveyance information conveyed from the information provider side to the consumer side into pattern information recording digital data as a multidimensional code (column 8, lines 1-47, column 17, lines 1-67, column 20, lines 32-58, and column 23, lines 34-63), a restoring unit, on the consumer side, restoring the pattern information (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26), and a returning unit, on the consumer side, returning reply information of the conveyance information to at least one of the information provider side and the information distributor side based on the conveyance information that the restoring unit restores from the pattern information (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26, and column 23, lines 34-63), wherein the conveyance information includes provision

Art Unit: 2625

information that the information provider, side provides to the consumer side (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26) and a plurality of pieces of return information for returning the reply information (column 18, lines 1-46).

However, Philyaw fails to expressly disclose if the multidimensional code is recorded in at least two directions. Ikeda discloses an information conveying system in which an information provider side conveys information to a consumer side via a distribution material distributed by an .information distributor (column 4, line 29-column 5, line 19), and a bi-directional information exchange between the information provider side and the consumer side is made (column 4, line 41-column 5, line 19), comprising a converting unit, on at least one of the information provider side and the information distributor side, converting conveyance information conveyed from the information provider side to the consumer side into pattern information recording digital data as a multidimensional code (column 4, line 29-column 5, line 19), the multidimensional code being recorded in at least two directions (column 5, line 31-column 6, line column 7, line 25).

Philyaw & Ikeda are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that distribute products having digitally encoded bar codes that are subsequently scanned by a consumer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the two-dimensional bar code of Ikeda, within the system of Philyaw. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that a two-dimensional bar-code has sufficient storage capacity to include a number of various identifiers, as recognized by Ikeda in column 5, lines 31-49.

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Ikeda with the system of Philyaw to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claims 2, 5 and 21, Philyaw discloses a server (ARS 308) in an information conveying system conveying conveyance information to a consumer side, and receiving a reply to the conveyance information comprising a converting unit converting the conveyance information to be conveyed to the consumer side into pattern information in a multidimensional code (column 8, lines 1-4.71 column 17, lines 1-67, column 20, lines 32-58, and column 23, lines 34-63), and an accumulation unit accumulating information returned from the consumer side in response to the conveyance information restored from the pattern information (column 8, lines 1-47, an column 18, lines 40-46, and column 21, lines 1-28), wherein the conveyance information includes provision information that the information provider side provides to the consumer side (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26)and a plurality of pieces of return information for returning the reply information (column 18, lines 1-46).

However, Philyaw fails to expressly disclose if the multidimensional code is recorded in at least two directions. Ikeda discloses a server (being the site of the WWW homepage) in an information conveying system conveying conveyance information to a consumer side, and receiving a reply to the conveyance information comprising a converting unit converting the conveyance information to be conveyed to the consumer Side into pattern information in a multidimensional code (column 4, line 29-column 5,

Art Unit: 2625

line 19), the multidimensional code being recorded in at least two directions (column 5, line 31-column 6, line column 7, line 25).

Philyaw & Ikeda are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that distribute products having digitally encoded bar codes that are subsequently scanned by a consumer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the two-dimensional bar code of Ikeda, within the system of Philyaw. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that that a two-dimensional bar-code has .sufficient storage capacity to include a number of various identifiers, as recognized by Ikeda in column 5, lines 31-49. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Ikeda with the system of Philyaw to obtain the invention as specified in claim 2.

Regarding claims 3, 6, 20, 22 and 24, Philyaw discloses a terminal used by a consumer side in an information conveying system making a bi-directional information exchange between an information provider side and the consumer side (column 8, lines 1-47, column 17, lines 1-67, column 20, lines 32-58, and column 23, lines 34-63), comprising a restoring unit restoring conveyance information from pattern information printed in a multidimensional code (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26), and a returning unit returning reply information to the information provider side based on the conveyance information that the restoring unit restored from the pattern information (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26, and column 23, lines 34-63), wherein the conveyance information includes provision information that the information provider side

Art Unit: 2625

provides to the consumer side (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26) and a plurality of pieces of return information for returning the reply information (column 18, lines 1-46).

However, Philyaw fails to expressly disclose if the multidimensional code is recorded in at least two directions. Ikeda discloses a terminal used by a consumer side in an information conveying system making a bi-directional information exchange between an information provider side and the consumer side (column 4, line 41-column 5, line 19), comprising a restoring unit restoring conveyance information from pattern information printed in a multidimensional code (column 4, line 29-column 5, line 19), the multidimensional code being recorded in at least two directions on distribution material (column 5, line 31-column 6, line column 7, line 25).

Philyaw & Ikeda are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that distribute products having digitally encoded bar codes that are subsequently scanned by a consumer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the two-dimensional bar code of Ikeda, within the system of Philyaw. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that that a two-dimensional bar-code has sufficient storage capacity to include a number of various identifiers, as recognized by Ikeda in column 5, lines 31-49.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Ikeda with the system of Philyaw to obtain the invention as specified in claim 3.

Regarding claim 7, Philyaw discloses an information conveying method with which an information provider side conveys information to a consumer side via a

Art Unit: 2625

distribution material distributed by an information distributor side (see Fig. 16, and column 16, line 47-column 17, line 17), comprising converting at the information provider side or the information distributor side, conveyance information to be conveyed from the information provider side to the consumer side into pattern information recording digital data as multidimensional code (column 8, lines 1-47, column 17, lines 1-67, column 20, lines 32-58, and column 23, lines 34-63), and restoring, at the consumer side, the conveyance information from the pattern information printed on the distribution material (column 17, lines 18-46), and returning, from the consumer side. reply information of the conveyance information to at least one of the information provider side and the information distributor side based on the conveyance information restored from the pattern information (column 18, line I-column 19, line 14, and column 20, lines 47-58), wherein the conveyance information includes provision information that the information provider side provides to the consumer side (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 26) and a plurality of pieces of return information for returning the reply information (column 18, lines 1-46).

However, Philyaw fails to expressly disclose if the multidimensional code is recorded in at least two directions. Ikeda discloses an information conveying method with which an information provider side conveys information to a consumer side via a distribution material distributed by an information distributor side (column 4, line 29-column 5, line 19), comprising converting, at the information provider side or the information distributor side, conveyance information to be conveyed from the information provider side to the consumer side into pattern information recording digital

column 6, line column 7, line 25).

Art Unit: 2625

data as a multidimensional code (column 4, line 29-column 5, line 19), the multidimensional code being recorded in at least two directions (column 5, line 31-

Philyaw & Ikeda are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, being systems that distribute products having digitally encoded bar codes that are subsequently scanned by a consumer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the two-dimensional bar code of Ikeda, within the system of Philyaw. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that that a two-dimensional bar-code has sufficient storage capacity to include a number of various identifiers, as recognized by Ikeda in column 5, lines 31-49. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Ikeda with the system of Philyaw to obtain the invention as specified in claim 7.

Regarding claim 9, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7, and Philyaw further teaches that the provision information is multimedia information including at least one of character information, still image information, moving image information, and audio information (column 17, line 1-column 18, line 26, and column 20, lines 32-46).

Regarding claim 10, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7; and Philyaw further teaches that the storage program returns the reply information by making a connection to a network if the consumer side can make the

Art Unit: 2625

connection to the network (column 22, line 55-column 23, line 63), or presents information required for returning the reply information with a method which does not make a connection to the network if the consumer side cannot make the connection to the network (column 23, line 34-column 24, line 10).

Regarding claim 11, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7, and Philyaw further teaches that the storage program identifies a terminal of the consumer side (column 15, lines 2-62, column 17, lines 26-59, column 20, line 47-column 21, line 41).

Regarding claim 12, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7, and Philyaw further teaches that the information provider side assigns a distribution material identifier for identifying a type of the distribution material tO the distribution material (column 17, line 17-59, column 18, lines 15-58, and column 20, lines 32-58) and converts the distribution material identifier into pattern information along with the conveyance information (column 17, line 17-59, column 18, lines 15-58, and column 20, lines 32-58).

Regarding claim 13, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7, and Philyaw further teaches that the information provider side accumulates the reply information that the consumer side returns (column 8, lines 1-47, and column 18, lines 40-46, and column 21, lines 1-28).

Page 11

Regarding claim 14; Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7, and Philyaw further teaches that the conveyance information includes questionnaire information for the consumer side (column 13, lines 9-53), and the return information includes a reply result of the questionnaire information (column 13, lines 9-53, and column 18, line 27-column 19, line 32).

Regarding claim 15, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 14, and Philyaw further teaches that the information provider side assigns an identifier to each type of the questionnaire information (column 13, lines 9-53), and converts the identifier into pattern information along with the conveyance information (column 13, lines 9-53, and column 18, line 27-column 19, line 32).

Regarding claim 16, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in. claim 15, and Philyaw further teaches that the return information includes the identifier along with the reply result, and the information provider side adds up the reply result by using the identifier (column 13, lines 9-53, and column 18, line 27-column 19, line 32).

Regarding claim 17, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 7, and Philyaw further teaches that the conveyance information includes information for determining winning/losing of a prize (column 15, lines 11-62), and a winning/losing determination program for determining winning/losing of a prize

Art Unit: 2625

according to the information for determining the winning/losing of a prize (column 15, lines 11-62, and column 18, line 27-column 19, line 32), and identification information set on the consumer side (column 13, lines 9-53, column 15, lines 11-62, and column 18, line 27-column 19, line 32).

Regarding claim 18, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 17, and Philyaw further teaches that the winning/losing determination program immediately notifies the consumer side of a determination result when determining winning/losing of a prize (column 5, lines 11-62).

Regarding claim 19, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the method discussed above in claim 17, and Philyaw further teaches that when the identification information is not set on the consumer side, the winning/losing determination program assigns the identification information via a network if the consumer side can make a connection to the network (column 15, lines 11-62, and column 22, line 55-column 23, line 63), or presents information required for assigning the identification information with a method which does not make a connection to the network if the consumer side cannot make the connection to the network (column 15, lines 11-62, and column 23, line 34-column 24, line 10).

Regarding claim 23, Philyaw and Ikeda disclose the medium discussed above in claim 21, and Philyaw further teaches of embedding a storage program into the

Art Unit: 2625

program, if the conveyance information restored from the pattern information includes the storage program (column 17, line 47-column 18, line 46, column 22, lines 2-55, and column 26, lines 34-6).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yixing Qin whose telephone number is (571)272-7381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler Lamb can be reached on (571)272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yo

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER